



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/820,812	03/30/2001	David W. Cannell	05725.0783-00	5365	
22852 75	22852 7590 04/10/2006			EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			WANG, SHENGJUN		
LLP 901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			1617		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/10/2006	4	

D.112 .......

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/820,812	CANNELL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shengjun Wang	1617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	anuary 2006.				
		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		itters, prosecution as to the	e merits is		
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E	=	•			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-100 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-15,22-63 and 70-100</u> is/are allowed					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>16-21,64-69</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•			
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	ГО-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	, ,,,				
*.9	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.			
Attachmen	• •					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Infon	r No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/820,812	Page	
Art Unit: 1617		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on January 11, 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patents 6,486,105; 6,800,302, and US application 09/820,934 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

#### Response to the Arguments

Applicants' amendments and remarks submitted April 27, 2005 have been fully considered, and found persuasive to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 over Karlen et al. and Bertho et al. Particularly, claims as amended, require the heating step be next in time, space or order of the application of the composition, or the heating step be in the same time with the application of the composition. As argued by applicants' the cited references do not teach a fairly suggest the method as herein claimed.

Applicants' remarks as to the construction of claims have been considered and persuasive. Particularly, as further defined in the claims, the "and then" are interpreted as read on both applied prior to said heating or during said heating.

In view of the terminal disclaimers, the elected species are allowable, and the claims now have been examined in the full scope.

## Claims Objections

2. Claims 16-21, 64-69 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. It is noted that claims 1 and 49 clearly limit

Application/Control Number:	Page 3
09/820,812	_
Art Unit: 1617	

the compounds as C3 to C5 monosaccharides substituted with at least one C1 to C22 carbone chain. Claims 15-21 and 64-69 further expand the scope of compounds to include derivatives of the compounds defined in claims 1 and 49 and do not further limit claims 1 and 49.

### Claim Rejections 35. U.S.C. 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-21 and 64-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 4. Claims 16-21, and 64-69 recites the limitation "derivative". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claims 16-21, and 64-69 recites the limitation "derivative". However, the claims or the specification provide no clear definition for the "derivative." Furthermore, the claims are confusing as to the definition of "derivative." Particularly, claims 1 and 49 clearly limit the compounds as C3 to C5 monosaccharides substituted with at least one C1 to C22 carbone chain. Claims 19 and 67 further define the monosaccharides as oligosaccharides, which is conflict with art acceptable meaning of "monosaccharides."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

Application/Control Number:	 Page 4
09/820,812	
Art Unit: 1617	

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHENGJUN WANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Shengjun Wang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617